

On a problem in valuation theory

by

VICTOR ALEXANDRU⁽¹⁾, SEVER ANGEL POPESCU⁽²⁾

Dedicated to the memory of our Teacher, dr. doc. Nicolae Popescu

Abstract

Let (K, v) be a nontrivial Krull valued number field and let $\overline{K} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of K . We say that an extension L/K , $L \subset \overline{K}$, is a v -extension of (K, v) if v does not split in L .

In the 2000s dr. doc. Nicolae Popescu (Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy) stated the following hypothesis:

”An algebraic number field K with a nontrivial Krull valuation v on it cannot have a normal v -maximal extension.” Trying to solve this problem we considered a Krull valued number field (K, v) with some additional properties and we constructed a class of v -maximal extensions of K which are not normal extensions of K . Thus, in general, the above hypothesis is still open.

We also give an example of a nontrivial valued field (T, w) which has a normal w -maximal extension. Some other auxiliary results are given on these mysterious mathematical objects, v -maximal extensions.

Key Words: Valued fields, Galois groups, Henselian fields, decomposition groups, number fields, v -maximal extensions, normality.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 12J10, 12J25, 12F10; Secondary 13A18, 12F99.

1 Introduction

Let (K, v) be a Krull nontrivial valued field and let \overline{K} be a fixed algebraic closure of K . We say that (K, v) is a *Henselian field* if v has a unique extension \overline{v} to \overline{K} . This property of (K, v) is an intrinsic property, that is, it does not depend on the fixed algebraic closure \overline{K} . If (K, v) is not Henselian, then there exists subfields L , $K \subset L \subsetneq \overline{K}$ such that v has a unique extension u to L , and L is maximal with this last property, that is u splits in any proper extension N/L , $N \subset \overline{K}$. We call such an extension L/K , a v -maximal extension. A v -extension M/K is simply a field extension M of K so that v does not split in M . It is clear that a v -maximal extension of (K, v) is a maximal element in the set of all v -extensions of K (see also [1] and [2]). In the 2000s dr. doc. Nicolae Popescu (Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy) made the following hypothesis (NP-hypothesis):

”An algebraic number field K with a nontrivial Krull valuation v on it cannot have a normal v -maximal extension.”

In this note we describe two situations in which one can find non-normal v -maximal extensions for a Krull valued algebraic number field (K, v) (see Theorem 6 and Theorem

7). In Remark 3 we give an example of a Krull valued field, namely $(\mathbb{C}(X), v)$, which has a normal v -maximal extension. Here v is the usual X -adic valuation. Thus, the NP-hypothesis is not true for function fields.

So that everything is clear and easy to read, in the Section 2 we collect some known results useful in our study. Proposition 1 is a slightly modified result of R. Brauer ([7], pages 107-108).

In Section 3 we put all the main new results together with their proofs. Lemma 1 says that if L is a v -extension that is also a normal extension of K , then $Gal(L/K) \simeq Gal(LK^h/K^h)$, where K^h is a henselization of K . Proposition 2 supplies some sufficient conditions on (K, v) so that we can find a v -maximal extension of K which is not normal. In Theorem 5 we describe a general situation when the Galois group of a normal extension of a number field is not a solvable group. This result is the key point in the proof of Theorem 7. Theorem 6 says that a real number field K with a nontrivial Krull valuation v on it satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2, that is, in this case, we can find non-normal v -maximal extensions of (K, v) . Theorem 7 says that any nontrivial Krull valued number field (K, v) satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2 if K/\mathbb{Q} is normal and $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a solvable group. Thus, in this last case, we can find v -maximal extensions of (K, v) which are not normal extensions.

2 Notation, definitions and some general results

Let (K, v) be a perfect nontrivial Krull valued field of rank 1 and let us fix a completion (\tilde{K}, \tilde{v}) of it. Let $(\overline{\tilde{K}}, w)$ be a fixed algebraic closure of \tilde{K} and let w be the unique extension of \tilde{v} to $\overline{\tilde{K}}$. Let \overline{K} be the algebraic closure of K in $\overline{\tilde{K}}$ and let \bar{v} be the restriction of w to \overline{K} . In general, if L/K , $L \subset \overline{K}$, is an extension of K , we denote by v_L the restriction of \bar{v} to L . It is easy to see that \overline{K} is also an algebraic closure of K . The valuation \bar{v} depends on (\tilde{K}, \tilde{v}) and on $\overline{\tilde{K}}$, that is, it is unique up to continuous isomorphisms of valued fields and algebraic closed fields over K respectively. All the topological properties which follow are relative to the topology induced by this valuation \bar{v} on \overline{K} or to the one induced by w on $\overline{\tilde{K}}$.

In general, a subfield L , $K \subset L \subset \overline{K}$, is called a *Henselian field relative to \bar{v}* if \bar{v} is the unique extension of v_L to \overline{K} , where v_L is the restriction of \bar{v} to L . Since the construction of (\tilde{K}, \tilde{v}) and of $(\overline{\tilde{K}}, w)$ are unique up to isomorphisms of fields, if L/K , $L \subset \overline{K}$, is a Henselian field relative to \bar{v} , then it is a Henselian extension in the usual sense (see [10], 5.1, Theorem 1, or [6], Theorem 2.40). Moreover, since Hensel's Lemma is true in \tilde{K} and since this lemma involves only algebraic quantities, we see that the algebraic part of \tilde{K} , that is $K^h = \tilde{K} \cap \overline{K}$ (the intersection is considered in $\overline{\tilde{K}}$), is a Henselian extension of (K, v) . Now, since the residue field of any subextension M/K of \tilde{K} is the residue field of K , we see that the Henselian field K^h (with respect to the restriction of \tilde{v} to K^h) is a least Henselian field which contains K (relative to the above constructed \bar{v} on \overline{K}). K^h is called the *henselization* of (K, v) relative to \bar{v} . In fact, it depends on the fixed valuation \bar{v} on \overline{K} . If we change \bar{v} with another one, $\bar{v} \circ \sigma$, where $\sigma \in G = Gal(\overline{K}/K)$, then the henselization of (K, v) will be $\sigma^{-1}(K^h)$. In the following we work only with the standard valuation \bar{v} constructed above, consequently K^h is unique. It is easy to see that K^h is the topological closure of K in \overline{K}

(relative to \bar{v}). It is also the algebraic closure of K in \tilde{K} , the completion of K relative to v . Since K^h is a Henselian field, we see that any field L with $K^h \subset L \subset \bar{K}$ is also a Henselian field.

Lemma 1. *Keeping the above hypotheses, notation and definitions, let L/K be an extension of K in \bar{K} . Then $L^h = \tilde{L} \cap \bar{K}$, the henselization of L with respect to \bar{v} , is equal to LK^h , the least subfield of \bar{K} which contains L and K^h .*

Proof. First of all we want to prove that LK^h is the topological closure of L in \bar{K} . Since K^h is a Henselian field, we see that LK^h is also a Henselian field. Let α be an element in \bar{K} which is in the topological closure of LK^h , that is, there exist a sequence $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ with $\alpha_n \in LK^h$. Krasner's Lemma says that there exists an $\alpha_N \in LK^h$ such that $LK^h[\alpha] \subset LK^h[\alpha_N] = LK^h$ ([10], 5.1, I, or [3], Ch. 2, Theorem 8). Hence, $\alpha \in LK^h$, that is, LK^h is topologically closed in \bar{K} . Since $L \subset LK^h$, we see that the topological closure of L in \bar{K} is contained in LK^h . Since K^h is the topological closure of K in \bar{K} , we see that K^h is contained in the topological closure of L in \bar{K} . Thus LK^h is equal to the topological closure of L in \bar{K} . \square

Let $G(\bar{v}) = Gal(\bar{K}/K^h) = \{\sigma \in Gal(\bar{K}/K) : \bar{v} \circ \sigma = \bar{v}\}$ be the *decomposition (splitting) group* of \bar{v} . It is a closed subgroup of $Gal(\bar{K}/K)$ with respect to the Krull topology (see [1], [6]). Moreover, it is also equal to $Gal_{cont, \bar{v}}(\bar{K}/K)$, the subgroup of all K -automorphisms of \bar{K} which are continuous relative to the topology induced by \bar{v} . The inclusion $G(\bar{v}) \subset Gal_{cont, \bar{v}}(\bar{K}/K)$ is obvious. Let σ be in $Gal_{cont, \bar{v}}(\bar{K}/K)$. We see that $\{x \in \bar{K} : \bar{v}(x) < 1\} = \{x \in \bar{K} : (\bar{v} \circ \sigma)(x) < 1\}$, that is, \bar{v} and $\bar{v} \circ \sigma$ generate the same topology on \bar{K} and thus, $\bar{v} \circ \sigma = s\bar{v}$, with s a positive real number (see [8], Proposition 3.3). Since $(\bar{v} \circ \sigma)(x) = \bar{v}(x)$ for any $x \in K$, we see that $s = 1$, that is, $\sigma \in G(\bar{v})$.

Definition 1. *An extension of fields L/K , $L \subset \bar{K}$, is a v -extension if v can be uniquely extended to L , that is, if v_L , the restriction of \bar{v} to L , is the unique valuation on L which extends v , or if v does not split in L . A v -extension L is a v -maximal extension if it is maximal as a v -extension (see also [1] and [2]).*

Remark 1. *It is easy to see that L/K , $L \subset \bar{K}$ is a v -extension if and only if any K embedding $\sigma : L \rightarrow \bar{K}$ is continuous with respect to \bar{v} , i.e. $(\bar{v} \circ \sigma)_L = v_L$ for any extension $\bar{\sigma}$ of σ to \bar{K} .*

The following three results are known, but we decided to give them in a more appropriate form for our purpose.

Theorem 1. ([8], pages 161-167, or [5], Theorem 1.1) *Let (K, v) , (\tilde{K}, \tilde{v}) , (\bar{K}, w) and (\bar{K}, \bar{v}) be as above, let L/K , $L \subset \bar{K}$ be an extension of fields and let u be another valuation on L which extends v . Then there exists an automorphism $\sigma \in G = Gal(\bar{K}/K)$ such that $u = (\bar{v} \circ \sigma)_L$. Moreover, if $\bar{v} \circ \sigma_1 = \bar{v} \circ \sigma_2$, with $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$, then $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2^{-1} \in G(\bar{v}) = Gal(\bar{K}/K^h)$.*

Theorem 2. ([8], Proposition 8.2, or [6], Theorem 3.7) *With the above notation and hypotheses, let α be an element in \bar{K} and let $f_\alpha(X) \in K[X]$ be its minimal polynomial over K . Let $f_\alpha(X) = f_1^{n_1}(X) \cdots f_r^{n_r}(X)$ be the factorization of $f_\alpha(X)$ into distinct irreducible factors over K^h , the henselization of K with respect to \bar{v} . Let $\alpha_i \in \bar{K}$ be a fixed root of*

the polynomial $f_i(X) \in K^h[X]$ and let $\sigma_i \in G = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ be such that $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then $v_i = (\overline{v} \circ \sigma_i)_{K[\alpha]}$ are all the distinct valuations on $K[\alpha]$ that extend v to $K[\alpha]$. Moreover, the completion $\widetilde{K[\alpha]}_{v_i}$ of $K[\alpha]$ relative to v_i is isomorphic to $\widetilde{K}[\alpha_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where \widetilde{K} is the completion of K with respect to v . The statements remain true if we change K^h with \widetilde{K} .

Theorem 3. ([10], 5.1, G, or [6], Corollary 2.33) *With the above notation and hypotheses, we assume that (K, v) is a Henselian valued field and let*

$$f(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + X^n \in K[X]$$

be a monic irreducible polynomial over K . Let $\lambda_1 \in \overline{K}$ be a fixed root of f . Then there exists a real number $M > 0$ such that if

$$g(X) = b_0 + b_1X + \dots + b_{n-1}X^{n-1} + X^n \in K[X]$$

with $v(a_i - b_i) > M$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, then g is irreducible over K and it has at least one root $\mu_1 \in \overline{K}$ such that $K[\lambda_1] = K[\mu_1]$. Moreover, if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all the roots of $f(X)$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_n are the roots of $g(X)$, then, for any $N > 0$, there exists another real number $M_N > 0$ such that if $v(a_i - b_i) > M_N$, then $\overline{v}(\lambda_i - \mu_{\sigma(i)}) > N$, where σ is a permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Corollary 1. *With the above notation and hypotheses, \overline{K} is dense in \widetilde{K} with respect to v , the unique extension of \overline{v} to \widetilde{K} and $\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{K}\overline{K}$. Moreover, $G(\overline{v}) = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K^h) \simeq \text{Gal}(\widetilde{K}/\widetilde{K})$, this last isomorphism being a topological isomorphism relative to the Krull topologies. In particular, if the residue field of (K, v) is finite, then $G(\overline{v})$ is a prosolvable group (an inverse limit of solvable groups), because $\text{Gal}(\widetilde{K}/\widetilde{K})$ is a prosolvable group (see [11], 3-6-6).*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \widetilde{K}$ be an element of the algebraic closure \widetilde{K} of \widetilde{K} , the fixed completion of K with respect to v . Let $f_\alpha(X) \in \widetilde{K}[X]$ be its minimal polynomial over \widetilde{K} . Let $M > 0$ be a sufficiently large positive real number and let $g(X) \in K[X]$ be a monic irreducible polynomial with coefficients sufficiently close to the corresponding coefficients of $f_\alpha(X)$ such that a root $\beta \in \overline{K}$ of $g(X)$ verifies the inequality: $\overline{v}(\alpha - \beta) > M$ and $\widetilde{K}[\alpha] = \widetilde{K}[\beta]$ (see Theorem 3). Thus, \overline{K} is dense in \widetilde{K} and $\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{K}\overline{K}$.

Let now $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K^h)$. Then $\overline{v} \circ \sigma = \overline{v}$, since \overline{v} is the unique extension to \overline{K} of the restriction \overline{v}_{K^h} of \overline{v} to K^h . So σ is continuous with respect to \overline{v} . Since \overline{K} is dense in \widetilde{K} , this σ can be uniquely extended (by continuity) to a \widetilde{K} -automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of \widetilde{K} over \widetilde{K} . Now, it is easy to see that $\sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}$ is a topological isomorphism between $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K^h)$ and $\text{Gal}(\widetilde{K}/\widetilde{K})$. It is not difficult to conclude (see [11], Proposition 3-6-6) that, if the residue field of (K, v) is finite, then $\text{Gal}(\widetilde{K}/\widetilde{K})$ is a prosolvable group. Thus $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K^h)$ is also a prosolvable group. \square

We need now to make some appropriate changes in the statement of Theorem 8, Ch. II, [7].

Proposition 1. *Let $M \geq 5$ be a real number. Then, there exists an Eisenstein polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ relative to a given prime number p , of a given prime degree $q \geq M$ such that the equation $f(x) = 0$ has exactly two non-real roots. In this last case, the Galois group of f over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_q . Thus, the Galois group of f over \mathbb{Q} is not a solvable group.*

Proof. We use here an idea of R. Brauer (see [7], pages 107, 108). Let $m > 0$ be a positive integer that will be fixed later, and let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{q-2}$ be $q - 2$ integers. We define the polynomial $g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$:

$$g(X) = (X^2 + m)(X - pn_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (X - pn_{q-2}).$$

The equation $g(x) = 0$ has exactly $q - 2$ real roots and $q - 3$ local (relative) extremum points, each of them situated in the intervals (pn_j, pn_{j+1}) , $j = 1, 2, \dots, q - 3$. Since $pn_{j+1} - pn_j > p$, each such interval contains an integer of the form ph_j , where $h_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that $|g(ph_j)| > p$, so the equation $f(x) = g(x) - p = 0$ has at least $q - 3$ real distinct roots each of them in one of the intervals (pn_j, pn_{j+1}) , $j = 1, 2, \dots, q - 3$. Moreover, since $f(pn_{q-2}) = -p$ and $f(\infty) = \infty$, there is another root in the interval (pn_{q-2}, ∞) . Thus, $f(x) = 0$ has at least $q - 2$ real roots. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ be all the roots in \mathbb{C} of the equation $f(x) = 0$. Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i = p \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} n_i, \quad \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j = m + p^2 \sum_{i < j} n_i n_j,$$

we get that $\sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i^2 = p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} n_i^2 - 2m$. We see that if we take m a multiple of p and $m > \frac{1}{2}p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} n_i^2$, not all roots can be real roots. In this case, since $q - 2$ roots are real, we have to conclude that the equation $f(x) = 0$ has exactly two non-real roots, conjugate one to each other. Moreover, it is easy to see that the polynomial

$$f(X) = (X^2 + m)(X - pn_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (X - pn_{q-2}) - p \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

is an Eisenstein polynomial relative to p . Thus it is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Let us assume that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \bar{\alpha}_1$ are the complex roots of f . Then the restriction \bar{e} of the complex conjugation to \mathbb{Q}_f , the splitting field of f (over \mathbb{Q}), $\bar{e}(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2$, $\bar{e}(\alpha_2) = \alpha_1$, and $\bar{e}(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j$ for any $j = 3, 4, \dots, q$, is a transposition in the Galois group $G = Gal(\mathbb{Q}_f/\mathbb{Q}) \subset S_q$. Since the prime number q divides the order of G , there exists in G a cyclic Sylow subgroup of order q . This last one is generated by a cycle of order q in S_q . Since G contains a transposition and a cycle of order q , it must be equal to S_q (see [7], pag.106). \square

Remark 2. *Let K be a real number field such that the Eisenstein polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ from Proposition 1 is also irreducible over K . Let K_f be the splitting field of f over K . Since $q = \deg_K f$ is a prime number, the Galois group $G_1 = Gal(K_f/K)$ contains a Sylow subgroup of order q , which is a cyclic subgroup of G_1 . Moreover, the conjugation morphism $\bar{e} : \alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$, restricted to K , gives rise to a transposition in $G_1 \subset S_q$. Thus, $G_1 = S_q$ (see [7], pages. 106). Since $q \geq 5$, we see that G_1 is not a solvable group.*

3 v -normal extensions

We preserve the notation, definitions and hypotheses introduced in Section 2.

Definition 2. A v -extension L/K , $L \subset \overline{K}$, is called a v -normal extension if L is also a normal extension of K .

Problem. Can a v -normal extension of K be a v -maximal extension?

In [1], Remark 3.2, we considered the valued field (\mathbb{Q}, v_2) , where v_2 is the 2-adic valuation on \mathbb{Q} and the field $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{2}]$. It is clear that v_2 does not split in L_1 , so L_1/\mathbb{Q} is a v_2 -extension. There we have proved that any v_2 -maximal extension of \mathbb{Q} that contains L_1 cannot be a normal extension of \mathbb{Q} .

Lemma 2. With the above hypotheses, notation and definitions, let $L_1 \subset \overline{K}$ be a finite normal extension of K which is also a v -extension of (K, v) . Then $\text{Gal}(L_1/K) = \text{Gal}(L_1K^h/K^h)$. This means that for a finite v -normal extension L of K one has $\text{Gal}(L/K) = \text{Gal}(L^h/K^h)$ (see Lemma 1).

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \overline{K}$ such that $L_1 = K[\gamma]$ and let $f_\gamma \in K[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of γ over K . Since v does not split in L_1 , $f_\gamma \in K^h[X]$ is also the minimal polynomial of γ over K^h (see Theorem 2). Since $L_1K^h = K^h[\gamma]$, we see that $\text{Gal}(L_1/K)$ and $\text{Gal}(L_1K^h/K^h)$ are the Galois groups of one and the same irreducible polynomial f_γ . Thus they are equal. More exactly, we have in general that $\text{Gal}(L_1/K) \supset \text{Gal}(L_1K^h/K^h)$. Since $\deg_K f_\gamma = \deg_{K^h} f_\gamma$, these last Galois groups must be equal. \square

The next theorem appears in [2], Theorem 6. For reader convenience, we give it here again with a new proof.

Theorem 4. With the above notation and hypotheses, let L/K be an extension in \overline{K} . Then, L/K is a v -maximal extension of (K, v) if and only if L/K is a v -extension and L is dense in \overline{K} relative to the valuation \bar{v} .

Proof. \Rightarrow) We assume that L/K is a v -maximal extension. The topological closure of L in \overline{K} is $LK(v)$, where $K(v) = K^h$, the henselization of (K, v) relative to \bar{v} (Lemma 1). It is sufficient to prove that $LK(v) = \overline{K}$. Let α be in \overline{K} and let $g_\alpha \in LK(v)[X]$ be its minimal polynomial over $LK(v)$. Since $LK(v)$ is a henselian field, we see that g_α is also irreducible in $LK(v)(\alpha)$, so $\deg g_\alpha = 1$ over $LK(v)$, that is $\alpha \in LK(v)$.

\Leftarrow) We assume now that L/K is a v -extension and L is dense in \overline{K} . Since L is dense in \overline{K} , its henselization $LK(v)$ is exactly \overline{K} . Suppose that L/K is not a v -maximal extension. Thus, there exists $\beta \in \overline{K}$ such that v_L , the unique extension of v to L decomposes in at least two extensions in $L[\beta] \supseteq L$. Thus, the minimal polynomial g_β of β over L , is not irreducible over $L[\beta]$, but it is irreducible over the henselization $LK(v)(\beta) = \overline{K}$, a contradiction. Hence L/K is a v -maximal extension. \square

In the following we keep the above hypotheses, notation and definitions.

Proposition 2. *Let (K, v) be a perfect nontrivial Krull valued field of rank 1 with a finite residue field. We assume that there exists a finite extension K_1/K ($K_1 \subset \overline{K}$) such that v does not split in K_1 , i.e. K_1 is a v -extension of K . We also assume that the Galois group $Gal(L_1/K)$ of the least normal extension L_1 which contains K_1 is not a solvable group. Let K_2 be any v -maximal extension of K which contains K_1 . Then K_2 cannot be a normal extension of K .*

Proof. We assume that K_2/K is a normal extension. Since K_2 contains K_1 and L_1 is a normal extension, we get that $L_1 \subset K_2$. Let $\gamma \in L_1$ such that $L_1 = K[\gamma]$ and let f_γ be the minimal polynomial of γ over K . Since v does not split in L_1 , f_γ is also a minimal polynomial of γ over $K^h = \tilde{K} \cap \overline{K}$, the henselization of K (see Theorem 2). So $Gal(L_1K^h/K^h) = Gal(L_1/K)$ (see Lemma 2) is not a solvable group. But $Gal(L_1K^h/K^h)$ is a finite group factor of $Gal(\overline{K}/K^h)$ which is a prosolvable group (see Corollary 1). Thus $Gal(L_1/K)$ is a solvable group, a contradiction. Hence K_2 cannot be a normal extension of K . \square

Theorem 5. *Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} , the rational number field, and let v be a nontrivial valuation on K . Let v_p be the restriction of v to \mathbb{Q} and let q be a prime number with $q > [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $q \geq 5$. Let \mathbb{Q}_f be the splitting field of f over \mathbb{Q} , where*

$$f(X) = X^q + a_{q-1}X^{q-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \tag{3.1}$$

is an Eisenstein polynomial relative to p so that $Gal(\mathbb{Q}_f/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_q$, the symmetric group of all permutations with q elements (see Proposition 1). Then f is irreducible over (\tilde{K}, \tilde{v}) , the completion of (K, v) , that is, v does not split in $K_1 = K[\alpha]$ for any root α of f . Moreover, if L_1 is the splitting field of f over K , then $Gal(L_1/\mathbb{Q})$ is not a solvable group.

Proof. Let e be the ramification index of v relative to v_p , i.e. $v(p) = e$. Since $e \leq [K : \mathbb{Q}] < q$ and since q is a prime number, we see that $(e, q) = 1$. Moreover, $v(a_0) = v(p) = e \leq v(a_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq q - 1$, that is, $v(a_i) \geq e > \frac{ie}{q}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, q - 1$. Thus we can apply a generalization of the Eisenstein criterion (see [9], Proposition 2.2) for the local field (\tilde{K}, \tilde{v}) , the completion of K relative to v , and find that f is irreducible over \tilde{K} . In particular, it is irreducible over K . Let now $L_1 = K_f$ be the splitting field of f over K and let $K_1 = K[\alpha]$, where α is a fixed root of f in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The valuation v does not split in K_1 because f is irreducible over \tilde{K} (see Theorem 2). Since $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}_f \subset L_1$ and

$$Gal(L_1/\mathbb{Q})/Gal(L_1/\mathbb{Q}_f) \simeq Gal(\mathbb{Q}_f/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_q, q \geq 5,$$

we see that $Gal(L_1/\mathbb{Q})$ cannot be a solvable group. \square

Theorem 6. *Let (K, v) be a real number field with a nontrivial Krull valuation v on K . Then there exists a non-normal v -maximal extension of K .*

Proof. Let us take an Eisenstein polynomial f over K like in Theorem 5 (see formula (3.1)) and let us fix a root $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of f . Denote $K_1 = K[\alpha]$ and $L_1 = K_f$, the splitting field of f over K . Thus K_1/K is a v -extension and $Gal(L_1/K)$ is not a solvable group (see Remark

2). Now, we can take any v_{K_1} -extension K_2 of K_1 (that is also a v -extension of K), and apply Proposition 2 to see that K_2 is not a normal extension of K . Here v_{K_1} is the unique extension of v to K_1 . \square

Theorem 7. *Let K be a finite normal extension of \mathbb{Q} , and let v be a nontrivial Krull valuation on K . We also assume that $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a solvable group. Then there exists a non-normal v -maximal extension of K .*

Proof. Let us take an Eisenstein polynomial f over K like in Theorem 5, let $K_1 = K[\alpha]$, where α is a root of f , and let $L = K_f$ be the splitting field of f over K . Since $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_f/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_q$, $q \geq 5$ is not solvable, we see that $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is not a solvable group (see Theorem 5). From $\mathbb{Q} \subset K \subset L$ and from

$$\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})/\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}),$$

we see that $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ cannot be a solvable group, because $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a solvable group and $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is not. Now, we can take a v_{K_1} -maximal extension K_2 of K , which contains K_1 , that is also a v -maximal extension of K , and apply Proposition 2 to finally find that K_2 is not a normal extension of K . \square

Remark 3. *Let $K = \mathbb{C}(X)$, be the rational function field over the complex number field. Let v be the X -adic valuation on K and let $(\tilde{K} = \mathbb{C}((X)), \tilde{v})$ be its X -adic completion. We know (see [4], Ch. IV, Theorem 6) that any finite extension K' of \tilde{K} , $[K' : \tilde{K}] = n$, is of the form: $K' = \tilde{K}[X^{\frac{1}{n}}]$. Thus, the algebraic closure $\overline{\tilde{K}}$ of \tilde{K} is the field $\overline{\tilde{K}} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}((X))[X^{\frac{1}{n}}]$ of all Puiseux series with complex coefficients. For $L = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}(X^{\frac{1}{n}})$, we see that $\mathbb{C}((X))L = \overline{\tilde{K}}$. Thus, L is dense in $\overline{\tilde{K}}$ with respect to the unique extension of \tilde{v} to $\overline{\tilde{K}}$. So L is a v -maximal extension of (K, v) (see Theorem 4, or [2], Theorem 6). Moreover, it is also a normal extension of K . Hence, we have here an example of a v -maximal extension which is normal at the same time.*

Remark 4. *Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 supply us with a large class of effective examples of number fields (K, v) with Krull valuations v on them so that they admit many non-normal v -maximal extensions. For instance, if $g \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is a polynomial of an odd degree, and γ is a real root of it, we can take $K = \mathbb{Q}[\gamma]$ and for v we can take any extension of a p -adic valuation v_p of \mathbb{Q} , and then apply Theorem 6. In order to apply Theorem 7 we can take any quadratic extension $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}]$, where $d \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\sqrt{d} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and for v we can consider any extension to K of a p -adic valuation v_p on \mathbb{Q} . The abundance of non-normal v -maximal extensions can also be seen from the variety of the Eisenstein polynomials f of the form (3.1).*

Acknowledgement *We are grateful to the reviewer for the pertinent remarks he (she) has made to improve the initial version of this paper.*

References

- [1] V. ALEXANDRU, S. A. POPESCU, E. L. POPESCU, S. SULTANA, v -adic maximal extensions, spectral norms and absolute Galois groups, *Monatsh. Math.* **158** (3) (2009), 223-233.
- [2] V. ALEXANDRU, A. POPESCU, v -maximal extensions, henselian fields and conservative fields, *Bull. Math. Soc. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)*, **56** (104) (2013), 55-64.
- [3] E. ARTIN, *Algebraic numbers and algebraic functions*, Gordon and Breach, New York (1967).
- [4] Z. I. BOREVICH, I. R. SHAFAREVICH, *Number Theory*, Romanian translation from Russian, Editura Științifică și Enciclopedică, Bucharest (1985).
- [5] A. D. R. CHOUDARY, A. POPESCU, N. POPESCU, On the structure of compact subsets of C_p , *Acta Arith.* **123** (3) (2006), 253-266.
- [6] G. GROZA, A. POPESCU, *Extensions of valued fields*, (Romanian), Editura Academiei Române, Bucharest (2011).
- [7] N. JACOBSON, *Lectures in Abstract Algebra, Vol. 3, Field Theory*, D. van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton (1964).
- [8] J. NEUKIRCH, *Algebraic Number Theory*, translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **332**, Springer (1999).
- [9] N. POPESCU, A. ZAHARESCU, On the Structure of the Irreducible Polynomials over Local Fields, *J. of Number Theory* **52** (1) (1995), 98-118.
- [10] P. RIBENBOIM, *The Theory of Classical Valuations*, Springer NY (1999).
- [11] E. WEISS, *Algebraic Number Theory*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, NY (1963).

Received: 26.01.2024

Revised: 23.04.2024

Accepted: 02.05.2024

⁽¹⁾ University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Department of Mathematics, Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest 010014, Romania
E-mail: vral Alexandru@yahoo.com

⁽²⁾ Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, B-dul Lacul Tei 122-124, Bucharest 020396, O. P. 38, Bucharest, Romania
E-mail: angel.popescu@gmail.com