

**On generalized Gauss maps of minimal surfaces sharing hypersurfaces  
in a projective variety**

by  
DO THI THUY HANG<sup>(1)</sup>, SI DUC QUANG<sup>(2)</sup>

**Abstract**

In this article, we study the uniqueness problem for the generalized Gauss maps of minimal surfaces (with the same base) immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  which have the same inverse image of some hypersurfaces in a projective subvariety  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . As we know, this is the first time the unicity of generalized Gauss maps on minimal surfaces sharing hypersurfaces in a projective varieties is studied. Our results generalize and improve the previous results in this field.

**Key Words:** Gauss map, value distribution, holomorphic curve, uniqueness, algebraic dependence, hypersurface.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 53A10; Secondary 53C42.

## 1 Introduction and main results

Let  $x_1 : S_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $x_2 : S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be two oriented non-flat minimal surfaces immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and let  $G_1 : S_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $G_2 : S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  be their generalized Gauss maps. Assume that there is a conformal diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $S_1$  onto  $S_2$  and the Gauss map of the minimal surface  $x_2 \circ \Phi : S_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  is given by  $G_2 \circ \Phi$ . Then  $f^1 = G_1$ ,  $f^2 = G_2 \circ \Phi$  are two nonconstant holomorphic maps from  $S_1$  into  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . In 1993, Fujimoto obtained the following result.

**Theorem A** (cf. [4, Theorem 1.2]). *Under the notation be as above, let  $H_1, \dots, H_q$  be  $q$  hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in general position such that*

- (a)  $(f^1)^{-1}(H_j) = (f^2)^{-1}(H_j)$  for every  $j$ ,
- (b)  $f^1 = f^2$  on  $\bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^1)^{-1}(H_j) \setminus K$  for a compact subset  $K$  of  $S_1$ .

Then we have necessarily  $f^1 = f^2$

- (1) if  $q > (n+1)^2 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  for the case where  $S_1$  is complete and has infinite total curvature or
- (2) if  $q \geq (n+1)^2 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  for the case where  $K = \emptyset$  and  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are both complete and have finite total curvature.

In 2017, J. Park and M. Ru [8] considered the case where  $f^1$  and  $f^2$  are linearly nondegenerate with an addition assumption that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^k (f^1)^{-1}(H_{i_j}) = \emptyset$  for every  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq q$  ( $k \geq 2$ ).

Recently, in [11], the author initially studied the modified defect relation for the Gauss map of a minimal surface into a projective variety with hypersurfaces in subgeneral position. Motivated by the methods of [10, 11], in this paper, we will generalize the above mentioned results to the cases where Gauss maps into a projective subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  have the same inverse image for some hypersurfaces in subgeneral position.

In order to state our results, we recall the following. Let  $S$  be an open complete Riemann surface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $f$  be a holomorphic map from  $S$  into an  $\ell$ -dimension projective subvariety  $V$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  and let  $Q$  be a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  of degree  $d$ . By  $\nu_{Q(f)}$  we denote the pull-back of the divisor  $Q$  by  $f$ . Let  $F = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$  be a reduced representation of  $f$ . Assume that, the hypersurface  $Q$  has a defining polynomial, denoted again by the same notation  $Q$  (throughout this paper) if there is no confusion, given by

$$Q(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} a_I x^I,$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_d = \{(i_0, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}; i_0 + \dots + i_n = d\}$ ,  $a_I \in \mathbb{C}$  are not all zero for  $I \in \mathcal{T}_d$  and  $x^I = x_0^{i_0} \dots x_n^{i_n}$  for each  $i = (i_0, \dots, i_n)$ . We set

$$Q(F) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} a_I f^I,$$

where  $f^I = f_0^{i_0} \dots f_n^{i_n}$  for each  $I \in \mathcal{T}_d$ . Throughout this paper, for each given hypersurface  $Q$  we assume that  $\|Q\| = (\sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} |a_I|^2)^{1/2} = 1$ .

Denote by  $I(V)$  the ideal of homogeneous polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  defining  $V$  and by  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d$  the vector space of all homogeneous polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  including the zero polynomial. Define

$$I_d(V) := \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d}{I(V) \cap \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d} \text{ and } H_V(d) := \dim I_d(V).$$

Denote by  $[D]$  the equivalent class in  $I_d(V)$  of the element  $D \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d$ .

For the variety  $V$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $f(S) \subset V$ , we say that  $f$  is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$  if there is no  $[Q] \in I_d(V) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $Q(F) \equiv 0$ .

Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  ( $q \geq N + 1$ ) be  $q$  hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . The hypersurfaces  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  are said to be in  $N$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$  if

$$V \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=1}^{N+1} Q_{i_j} \right) = \emptyset \quad \forall 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{N+1} \leq q.$$

Our first main result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $V$  be an  $\ell$ -dimension projective subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $S_1, S_2$  be non-flat minimal surfaces immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with the Gauss maps  $G_1, G_2$  into  $V$ , respectively. Assume that there is a conformal diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $S_1$  onto  $S_2$ . Let  $f^1 = G_1, f^2 = G_2 \circ \Phi$ . Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  be  $q$  hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in  $N$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$ ,  $d = \text{lcm}(\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q)$  and let  $k$  be a positive integer such that:*

- (a)  $(f^1)^{-1}(Q_j) = (f^2)^{-1}(Q_j)$  for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ ,  
 (b)  $\bigcap_{j=0}^k (f^1)^{-1}(Q_{i_j}) = \emptyset$  for every  $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_k \leq q$ ,  
 (c)  $f^1 = f^2$  on  $\bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^1)^{-1}(Q_j)$ .

Suppose that  $f^1$  is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ . If  $S^1$  is complete and

$$q > \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{2(\sigma_M - \sigma_{M - \min\{k, \ell\}})}{d} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right)$$

where  $M = H_d(V) - 1$ ,  $\sigma_p = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$  for every  $p \geq 0$  and  $\sigma_p = 0$  for every  $p \leq 0$ , then  $f^1 \equiv f^2$ .

*Remark 1:* If  $V$  is the smallest linear subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  containing  $f^1(S)$  and  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  are hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in general position, then  $V = \mathbb{P}^\ell(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $d = 1$ ,  $N = n$ ,  $M = \ell$ . Therefore, from Theorem 1.1,  $f^1 = f^2$  if

$$q > \frac{2n - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( \ell + 1 + \frac{3\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} \right) = \frac{(2n - \ell + 1)(3\ell + 2)}{2}.$$

This condition is always fulfilled if  $q > \frac{(n+1)(3n+2)}{2} = (n+1)^2 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  (without any condition on  $f^1(S)$ ). Then this theorem gives an improvement for Theorem A(1).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $V$  be an  $\ell$ -dimension projective subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $S_1, S_2$  be non-flat minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with the Gauss maps  $G_1, G_2$  into  $V$ , respectively. Assume that there is a conformal diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $S_1$  onto  $S_2$ . Let  $f^1 = G_1, f^2 = G_2 \circ \Phi$ . Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  be  $q$  hypersurfaces (not containing  $V$ ) of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in  $N$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$ ,  $d = \text{lcm}(\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q)$  and let  $k$  be a positive integer such that:*

- (a)  $(f^1)^{-1}(Q_j) = (f^2)^{-1}(Q_j)$  for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ ,  
 (b)  $\bigcap_{j=0}^k (f^1)^{-1}(Q_{i_j}) = \emptyset$  for every  $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_k \leq q$ ,  
 (c)  $f^1 = f^2$  on  $\bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^1)^{-1}(Q_j)$ .

If  $f^1$  is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ ,  $S^1$  is complete,  $q \geq 2Mk + 2k$  and

$$q > \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{2Mkq}{(q + 2(M - 1)k)d} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right)$$

then there is  $\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$  indices  $i_1, \dots, i_{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor} \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  such that

$$\frac{Q_{i_1}(F^1)}{Q_{i_1}(F^2)} = \dots = \frac{Q_{i_{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor}}(F^1)}{Q_{i_{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor}}(F^2)}$$

for any two representations  $F^1, F^2$  of  $f^1, f^2$ , respectively.

*Remark 2:* In the above theorem, suppose that  $V = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  are hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in general position. Then  $d = 1$ ,  $M = N = \ell = n$ . Therefore, from the above theorem,  $f^1 = f^2$  if  $q \geq 2nk + 2k$  and

$$q > n + 1 + \frac{2nkq}{q + 2nk - 2k} + \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}.$$

Therefore, this result implies the previous result of J. Park and M. Ru in [8].

## 2 Main lemmas

Let  $V$  be  $\ell$ -dimension subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $d$  be a positive integer. Throughout this section and Section 3, we fix a  $\mathbb{C}$ -ordered basis  $\mathcal{V} = ([v_0], \dots, [v_M])$  of  $I_d(V)$ , where  $v_i \in H_d$  and  $M = H_V(d) - 1$ .

Let  $S$  be an open Riemann surface and let  $z$  is a conformal coordinate. Let  $f$  be a holomorphic map of  $S$  into  $V$ , which is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ . Suppose that  $F = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$  is a reduced representation of  $f$ . We set

$$F = (v_0(F), \dots, v_M(F))$$

and

$$F_p := F^{(0)} \wedge F^{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge F^{(p)} : S \rightarrow \bigwedge_{p+1} \mathbb{C}^{M+1}$$

for  $0 \leq p \leq M$ , where

- $F^{(0)} := F = (v_0(F), \dots, v_M(F))$ ,
- $F^{(l)} = F^{(l)} := (v_0(F)^{(l)}, \dots, v_M(F)^{(l)})$  for each  $l = 0, 1, \dots, p$ ,
- $v_i(F)^{(l)}$  ( $i = 0, \dots, M$ ) is the  $l^{\text{th}}$ - derivatives of  $v_i(F)$  taken with respect to  $z$ .

The norm of  $F_p$  is given by

$$|F_p| := \left( \sum_{0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq M} |W(v_{i_0}(F), \dots, v_{i_p}(F))|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$W(v_{i_0}(F), \dots, v_{i_p}(F)) := \det \left( v_{i_j}(F)^{(l)} \right)_{0 \leq l, j \leq p}.$$

Denote by  $\langle, \rangle$  the canonical hermitian product on  $\bigwedge^{k+1} \mathbb{C}^{M+1}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq M$ ). For two vectors  $A \in \bigwedge^{k+1} \mathbb{C}^{M+1}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq M$ ) and  $B \in \bigwedge^{p+1} \mathbb{C}^{M+1}$  ( $0 \leq p \leq k$ ), there is one and only one vector  $C \in \bigwedge^{k-p} \mathbb{C}^{M+1}$  satisfying

$$\langle C, D \rangle = \langle A, B \wedge D \rangle \quad \forall D \in \bigwedge^{k-p} \mathbb{C}^{M+1}.$$

The vector  $C$  is called the interior product of  $A$  and  $B$ , and denoted by  $A \vee B$ .

Now, for a hypersurface  $Q$  of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$[Q] = \sum_{i=0}^M a_i [v_i].$$

Hence, we associate  $Q$  with the vector  $(a_0, \dots, a_M) \in \mathbb{C}^{M+1}$  and define  $F_p(Q) = F_p \vee H$ . Then, we may see that

$$F_0(Q) = a_0 v_0(F) + \dots + a_M v_M(F) = Q(F),$$

$$|F_p(Q)| = \left( \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq M} \sum_{l \neq i_1, \dots, i_p} a_l |W(v_l(F), v_{i_1}(F), \dots, v_{i_p}(F))|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For  $0 \leq p \leq M$ , the  $p^{\text{th}}$ -contact function of  $f$  for  $Q$  is defined by

$$\varphi_p(Q) := \frac{|F_p(Q)|^2}{|F_p|^2}.$$

**Lemma 2.1** (cf. [9, Lemma 3]). *Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  be  $q$  ( $q > 2N - \ell + 1$ ) hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in  $N$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$  of the same degree  $d$ . Then, there are positive rational constants  $\omega_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q$ ) satisfying the following:*

- i)  $0 < \omega_i \leq 1 \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ ,
- ii) Setting  $\tilde{\omega} = \max_{j \in Q} \omega_j$ , one gets  $\sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j = \tilde{\omega}(q - 2N + \ell - 1) + \ell + 1$ .
- iii)  $\frac{\ell + 1}{2N - \ell + 1} \leq \tilde{\omega} \leq \frac{\ell}{N}$ .
- iv) For each  $R \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$  with  $\sharp R = N + 1$ , then  $\sum_{i \in R} \omega_i \leq \ell + 1$ .
- v) Let  $E_i \geq 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q$ ) be arbitrarily given numbers. For each  $R \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$  with  $\sharp R = N + 1$ , there is a subset  $R^o \subset R$  such that  $\sharp R^o = \text{rank}\{[Q_i]_{i \in R^o}\} = \ell + 1$  and

$$\prod_{i \in R} E_i^{\omega_i} \leq \prod_{i \in R^o} E_i.$$

The following theorem is due to the author in recent works [11, 12, 13].

**Theorem 2.2** (cf. [11, Theorem 3.3],[12, Theorem 3.5],[13, Theorem 2.7]). *Let the notations be as above and let  $\tilde{\omega}$  be the constant defined in the Lemma 2.1 with respect to the hypersurfaces  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a positive number  $\delta$  ( $> 1$ ) and  $C$ , depending only on  $\epsilon$  and  $Q_j$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{dd}^c \log \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{M-1} |F_p|^{2\epsilon}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq p \leq M-1} \log^{2\omega_j}(\delta/\varphi_p(Q_j))} \\ & \geq C \left( \frac{|F_0|^{2(\tilde{\omega}(q-(2N-k+1))-M+k)} |F_M|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^q (|F_0(Q_j)|^2 \prod_{p=0}^{M-1} \log^2(\delta/\varphi_p(Q_j)))^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M(M+1)}} \text{dd}^c |z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.3** (cf. [5, Proposition 2.5.7]). *Set  $\tau_m = \sum_{p=1}^m \sigma_p$  for each integer  $m$ . We have*

$$\text{dd}^c \log(|F_0|^2 \cdots |F_{M-1}|^2) \geq \frac{\tau_M}{\sigma_M} \left( \frac{|F_0|^2 \cdots |F_M|^2}{|F_0|^{2\sigma_{M+1}}} \right)^{1/\tau_M} \text{dd}^c |z|^2.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let the notations be as above and let the assumption be as in Lemma 2.1, we have*

$$\nu_{F_M^1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F)} - (\sigma_M - \sigma_{M-\min\{k,\ell\}}) \nu_{\prod_{j=1}^q Q_j(F)}^{[1]}.$$

*Proof.* For a point  $a \in \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^1)^{-1}(Q_j)$ , since  $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$  is in  $N$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$ , there are at most  $N$  indices  $j$  such that  $Q_j(F^1)(a) = 0$ . Then, there is a subset  $R \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$  with  $\sharp R = N + 1$  such that  $Q_j(F^1)(a) \neq 0 \ \forall j \notin R$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, there exists a subset  $R^o \subset R$  with  $\sharp R^o = \ell + 1$  such that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\{[Q_j]; j \in R^o\} = \ell + 1$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F)}(a) = \sum_{j \in R} \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F^1)}(a) \leq \sum_{j \in R^o} \nu_{Q_j(F^1)}(a).$$

We set  $k' = \min\{k, \ell\}$ . Since there are at most  $k'$  indices  $j \in R^o$  such that  $Q_j(F^1)(a) = 0$ , we also may assume further that  $R^o = \{1, \dots, \ell + 1\}$ ,  $Q_j(F^1)(a) \neq 0$  for all  $j > k', j \in R^o$ . By the basis property of the wronskian, we have

$$\nu_{F_M^1}(a) \geq \min_{\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k'} \max\{0, \nu_{Q_j(F^1)}(a) - (M - \alpha(j))\} \right\} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{k'} \nu_{Q_j(F^1)}(a) - (\sigma_M - \sigma_{M-k'}),$$

where the minimum is taken over all bijections  $\alpha : \{1, \dots, k'\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, k' - 1\}$ . Thus

$$\nu_{F_M^1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F)} - (\sigma_M - \sigma_{M-\min\{k, \ell\}}) \nu_{\prod_{j=1}^q Q_j(F)}^{[1]}.$$

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** (Generalized Schwarz's Lemma [1]). *Let  $v$  be a non-negative real-valued continuous subharmonic function on  $\Delta(R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < R\}$ . If  $v$  satisfies the inequality  $\Delta \log v \geq v^2$  in the sense of distribution, then*

$$v(z) \leq \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2}.$$

### 3 Holomorphic curves from complex discs into projective varieties

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $V$  be an  $\ell$ -dimension projective subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  be  $q$  hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in  $N$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$  and let  $d$  be the least common multiple of  $\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q$ . Let  $f^1, \dots, f^m$  be  $m$  holomorphic maps from  $\Delta(R)$  into  $V$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n + 1$ ), which are nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ . Assume that there exists a holomorphic function  $h$  on  $\Delta(R)$  satisfying*

$$\lambda \nu_h + \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_{F_M^i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F^i)} \quad \text{and} \quad |h| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i\|^\rho,$$

where  $F^i = (F_0^i, \dots, F_n^i)$  is a reduced representation of  $f^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ),  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  are non-negative numbers. Then for an arbitrarily given  $\epsilon$  satisfying

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - M - 1 - \frac{\lambda \rho}{d} > \epsilon \left( \sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d} \right).$$

the pseudo-metric  $d\tau^2 = \eta^{2/m} |dz|^2$ , where

$$\eta = \left( |h|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^{\gamma - \epsilon(\sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d})} |F_M^i| \prod_{p=0}^M |F_p^i|^\epsilon}{\prod_{j=1}^q \left( |Q_j(F^i)| \cdot \prod_{p=1}^{M-1} \log(\delta^i / \varphi_p^i(Q_j)) \right)^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_{M+1} + \epsilon \tau_M}}$$

and  $\delta^i$  is the number satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 with respect to the map  $f^i$ , is continuous and has strictly negative curvature.

Here and throughout this paper,  $F_p^i$  and  $\varphi_p^i$  are defined with respect to the map  $f^i$ . For simplicity, we sometimes write  $\prod_{i,j}$  and  $\prod_{j,p}$  for  $\prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^q$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^q \prod_{p=1}^{M-1}$ , respectively.

*Proof.* We see that the function  $\eta$  is continuous at every point  $z$  with  $\prod_{i,j} Q_j(F^i)(z) \neq 0$ . For a point  $z_0 \in \Delta(R)$  such that  $\prod_{i,j} Q_j(F^i)(z_0) = 0$ , we have

$$\nu_\eta(z_0) \geq \frac{1}{\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M} \left( \lambda\nu_h(z_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_{F_M^i}(z_0) - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F^i)}(z_0) \right) \geq 0.$$

This implies that  $d\tau^2$  is a continuous pseudo-metric on  $\Delta(R)$ .

We now prove that  $d\tau^2$  has strictly negative curvature on  $\Delta(R)$ . Again, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \text{dd}^c \log \frac{|F_M^i|^{1+\epsilon}}{\prod_{j=1}^q |Q_j(F^i)|^{\omega_j}} + (\lambda + \epsilon) \text{dd}^c \log |h| \geq 0.$$

Let  $\Omega$  be the Fubini-Study form of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  and denote by  $\Omega_{f^i}$  the pull-back of  $\Omega$  by the map  $f^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). By Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dd}^c \log \eta^{1/m} &\geq \frac{\gamma - \epsilon(\sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d})}{m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)} d \sum_{i=1}^m \Omega_{f^i} \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon}{4m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{dd}^c \log (|F_0^i|^2 \cdots |F_{M-1}^i|^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{dd}^c \log \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{M-1} |F_p^i|^{2(\frac{\rho}{d})}}{\prod_{p=0}^{M-1} \log^{2\omega_j}(\delta^i / \varphi_p^i(Q_j))} \\ &\geq \frac{\epsilon\tau_M}{4m\sigma_M(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{|F_0^i|^2 \cdots |F_M^i|^2}{|F_0^i|^{2\sigma_{M+1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_M}} \text{dd}^c |z|^2 \\ &+ C_0 \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{|F_0^i|^{2(\tilde{\omega}(q-2N+k-1)-M+k)} |F_M^i|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^q (|Q_j(F^i)|^2 \prod_{p=0}^{M-1} \log^2(\delta^i / \varphi_p^i(Q_j)))^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_M}} \text{dd}^c |z|^2 \quad (1) \\ &\geq \frac{\epsilon\tau_M}{4\sigma_M(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^2 \cdots |F_M^i|^2}{|F_0^i|^{2\sigma_{M+1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m\tau_M}} \text{dd}^c |z|^2 \\ &+ mC_0 \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^{2(\tilde{\omega}(q-2N+k-1)-M+k)} |F_M^i|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^q (|Q_j(F^i)|^2 \prod_{p=0}^{M-1} \log^2(\delta^i / \varphi_p^i(Q_j)))^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m\sigma_M}} \text{dd}^c |z|^2 \\ &\geq C_1 \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^{\tilde{\omega}(q-2N+k-1)-M+k-\epsilon\sigma_{M+1}} |F_M^i| \prod_{p=0}^M |F_p^i|^\epsilon}{\prod_{j=1}^q (|Q_j(F^i)| \prod_{p=0}^{M-1} \log(\delta^i / \varphi_p^i(Q_j)))^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{2}{m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)}} \text{dd}^c |z|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants  $C_0, C_1$ , where the last inequality comes from Hölder's inequality. On the other hand, we have  $|h| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i\|^\rho \leq \prod_{i=1}^m |F_0^i|^{\frac{\rho}{d}}$  and

$$\prod_{i=1}^m |F_0^i|^{\tilde{\omega}(q-2N+k-1)-M+k-\epsilon\sigma_{M+1}} \geq |h|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m |F_0^i|^{\gamma-\epsilon(\sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d})}.$$

This implies that  $\Delta \log \eta^{2/m} \geq C_2 \eta^{2/m}$  for some positive constant  $C_2$ . Therefore,  $d\tau^2$  has strictly negative curvature.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let the notations and the assumption be as in Lemma 3.1. Then for an arbitrarily given  $\epsilon$  satisfying*

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - M - 1 - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d} > \epsilon(\sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d}),$$

there exists a positive constant  $C$ , depending only on  $\epsilon, Q_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ), such that

$$\left( |h|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^{\gamma-\epsilon(\sigma_{M+1}+\frac{\rho}{d})} |F_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |F_p^i(Q_j)|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_{j=1}^q |Q_j(F^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{1/m} \leq C \left( \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2} \right)^{\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M}.$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\text{dd}^c \log \eta^{1/m} \leq C_2 \eta^{2/m} \text{dd}^c |z|^2.$$

According to Lemma 2.5, this implies that

$$\eta^{1/m} \leq C_3 \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2},$$

for some positive constant  $C_3$ . Then we have

$$\left( |h|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^{\gamma-\epsilon(\sigma_{M+1}+\frac{\rho}{d})} |F_M^i| \prod_{p=0}^M |F_p^i|^\epsilon}{\prod_{j=1}^q (|Q_j(F^i)| \cdot \prod_{p=1}^{M-1} \log(\delta^i / \varphi_p^i(Q_j)))^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)}} \leq C_3 \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2}.$$

It follows that

$$\left( |h|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^{\gamma-\epsilon(\sigma_{M+1}+\frac{\rho}{d})} |F_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p}^i |F_p^i(Q_j)|^{\frac{\epsilon}{q}}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (|Q_j(F^i)| \prod_{p=0}^{M-1} (\varphi_p^i(Q_j))^{\frac{\epsilon}{q}} \log(\delta^i / \varphi_p^i(Q_j)))^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)}} \leq C_3 \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2}.$$

Note that the function  $x^{\frac{\epsilon}{q}} \log^\omega \left( \frac{\delta}{x^2} \right)$  ( $\omega > 0, 0 < x \leq 1$ ) is bounded. Then we have

$$\left( |h|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^{\gamma-\epsilon(\sigma_{M+1}+\frac{\rho}{d})} |F_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |F_p^i(Q_j)|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_{j=1}^q |Q_j(F^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)}} \leq C_4 \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2},$$

for a positive constant  $C_4$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** (cf. [5, Lemma 1.6.7]). *Let  $d\sigma^2$  be a conformal flat metric on an open Riemann surface  $S$ . Then for every point  $p \in S$ , there is a holomorphic and locally biholomorphic map  $\Phi$  of a disk  $\Delta(R_0)$  onto an open neighborhood of  $p$  with  $\Phi(0) = p$  such that  $\Phi$  is a local isometric, namely the pull-back  $\Phi^*(d\sigma^2)$  is equal to the standard (flat) metric on  $\Delta(R_0)$ , and for some point  $a_0$  with  $|a_0| = 1$ , the curve  $\Phi(\overline{0, R_0 a_0})$  is divergent in  $S$  (i.e., for any compact set  $K \subset S$ , there exists an  $s_0 < R_0$  such that  $\Phi(\overline{0, s_0 a_0})$  does not intersect  $K$ ).*

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $S$  be an open Riemann surface and  $V$  be an  $\ell$ -dimension projective subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $f^1, \dots, f^m$  be  $m$  holomorphic curves from  $S$  into  $V$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ). Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  be  $q$  hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in  $N$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$  and  $d = \text{lcm}(\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q)$ . Assume that each  $f_i$  is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ , there exists a holomorphic function  $h$  on  $S$  satisfying*

$$\lambda \nu_h + \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_{F^i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F^i)} \quad \text{and} \quad |h| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i\|^\rho,$$

where  $F^i = (F_0^i, \dots, F_n^i)$  is a reduced representation of  $f^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and the metric

$$ds^2 = 2|\xi|^{2/m} \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i\| \right)^{2/m} |dz^2|,$$

where  $\xi$  is a nowhere zero holomorphic function, is complete on  $S$ . Then we have

$$q \leq \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{\lambda \rho}{d} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right).$$

*Proof.* If there are some hypersurfaces  $Q_j$  such that  $V \subset Q_j$ , for instance they all are  $Q_{q-r+1}, \dots, Q_q$  ( $0 \leq r \leq N - \ell + 1$ ), then by setting  $N' = N - r$ ,  $q' = q - r$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{\lambda \rho}{d} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right) - q \\ & \geq \frac{2N' - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{\lambda \rho}{d} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right) - q' \end{aligned}$$

and  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{q-r}$  are in  $N'$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$ . Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $V \not\subset Q_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, q$ .

We fix a  $\mathbb{C}$ -ordered basis  $\mathcal{V} = ([v_0], \dots, [v_M])$  of  $I_d(V)$  as in the Section 3. By replacing  $Q_i$  with  $Q_i^{d/\deg Q_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q$ ) if necessary, we may assume that all  $Q_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q$ ) are of the same degree  $d$ . Suppose that

$$[Q_j] = \sum_{i=0}^M a_{ji} [v_i],$$

where  $\sum_{i=0}^M |a_{ji}|^2 = 1$ .

Since  $f^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ , the contact functions satisfy

$$F_p^i(Q_j) \neq 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq p \leq M.$$

Then, for each  $j, p$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq p \leq M$ ), we may choose  $i_1, \dots, i_p$  with  $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq M$  such that

$$\psi(F^i)_{jp} = \sum_{s \neq i_1, \dots, i_p} a_{js} W(v_s(F^i), v_{i_1}(F^i), \dots, v_{i_p}(F^i)) \neq 0.$$

We note that  $\psi(F^i)_{j0} = F_0^i(Q_j) = Q_j(F^i)$  and  $\psi(F^i)_{jM} = F_M^i$ .

Suppose contrarily that

$$q > \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{\lambda\rho}{d} + \frac{M(M+1)}{2d} \right).$$

From Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(q - 2N + \ell - 1)\tilde{\omega} = \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - \ell - 1; \quad \tilde{\omega} \geq \omega_j > 0 \text{ and } \tilde{\omega} \geq \frac{\ell + 1}{2N - \ell + 1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - M - 1 - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d} &\geq \tilde{\omega}(q - 2N + \ell - 1) - M + \ell - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d} \\ &\geq \frac{\ell + 1}{2N - \ell + 1} (q - 2N + \ell - 1) - M + \ell - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d} \\ &= \frac{\ell + 1}{2N - \ell + 1} \left( q - \frac{(2N + \ell - 1)(M + 1 + \frac{\lambda\rho}{d})}{\ell + 1} \right) \\ &> \frac{\ell + 1}{2N - \ell + 1} \cdot \frac{(2N + \ell - 1)M(M + 1)}{2d(\ell + 1)} = \frac{\sigma_M}{d}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then, we can choose a rational number  $\epsilon (> 0)$  such that

$$\frac{d(\sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - M - 1 - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d}) - \sigma_M}{d(\sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d}) + \tau_M} > \epsilon > \frac{d(\sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - M - 1 - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d}) - \sigma_M}{\frac{1}{mq} + d(\sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d}) + \tau_M}.$$

We define the following numbers

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &:= d \left( \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - M - 1 - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d} - \epsilon \left( \sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d} \right) \right) > \sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M, \\ \rho &:= \frac{1}{\beta} (\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M), \\ \rho^* &:= \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)\beta} = \frac{1}{d(\sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - M - 1 - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d}) - \sigma_M - \epsilon(d\sigma_{M+1} + \rho + \tau_M)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $0 < \rho < 1$  and  $\frac{\epsilon\rho^*}{mq} > 1$ .

We consider a set

$$S' = \{a \in S; \psi(F^i)_{jp}(a) \neq 0, h(a) \neq 0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq m; j = 1, \dots, q; p = 0, \dots, M\}$$

and define a new pseudo-metric on  $S'$  as follows

$$d\tau^2 = |\xi|^{\frac{2(1+\beta\rho\rho^*)}{m}} \left( \frac{1}{|h|^{\lambda+\epsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q |Q_j(F^i)|^{\omega_j}}{|F_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |\psi(F^i)_{jp}|^{\frac{\epsilon}{q}}} \right)^{\frac{2\rho^*}{m}} |dz|^2.$$

Since  $Q_j(F^i), F_M^i, \psi(F^i)_{jp}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ) and  $h$  are all holomorphic functions on  $S'$ ,  $d\tau^2$  is flat on  $S'$ . We now show that  $d\tau^2$  is complete on  $S'$ .

Indeed, suppose contrarily that  $S'$  is not complete with  $d\tau^2$ , there is a divergent curve  $\gamma: [0, 1) \rightarrow S'$  with finite length. Then, as  $t \rightarrow 1$  there are only two cases: either  $\gamma(t)$  tends to a point  $a$  with

$$(h \prod_{j=1}^q \prod_{p=0}^M \psi(F^i)_{jp})(a) = 0$$

or else  $\gamma(t)$  tends to the boundary of  $S$ .

For the first case, by Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{d\tau}(a) &\leq - \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_{F_M^i}(a) - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F^i)}(a) + \lambda \nu_h(a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_{F_M^i}(a) + \epsilon \nu_h(a) + \frac{\epsilon}{q} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j,p} \nu_{\psi(F^i)_{jp}}(a) \right) \frac{\rho^*}{m} \right) \\ &\leq - \frac{\epsilon \rho^*}{m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_{F_M^i}(a) + \nu_h(a) \right) - \frac{\epsilon \rho^*}{mq} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j,p} \nu_{\psi(F^i)_{jp}}(a) \leq - \frac{\epsilon \rho^*}{mq}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there is a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|d\tau| \geq \frac{C}{|z - a|^{\frac{\epsilon \rho^*}{mq}}} |dz|$$

in a neighborhood of  $a$ . Then we get

$$L_{d\tau}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \|\gamma'(t)\|_{\tau} dt = \int_{\gamma} d\tau \geq \int_{\gamma} \frac{C}{|z - a|^{\frac{\epsilon \rho^*}{mq}}} |dz| = +\infty$$

( $\gamma(t)$  tends to  $a$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$ ). This is a contradiction. Then, the second case must occur, i.e.,  $\gamma(t)$  tends to the boundary of  $S$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$ .

Take a disk  $\Delta$  (in the metric induced by  $d\tau^2$ ) around  $\gamma(0)$ . Since  $d\tau$  is flat, by Lemma 3.3,  $\Delta$  is isometric to an ordinary disk in the plane. Let  $\Phi: \Delta(r) = \{|\omega| < r\} \rightarrow \Delta$  be this isometric with  $\Phi(0) = \gamma(0)$ . Extend  $\Phi$  as a local isometric into  $S'$  to a the largest disk possible  $\Delta(R) = \{|\omega| < R\}$ , and denoted again by  $\Phi$  this extension (for simplicity, we may consider  $\Phi$  as the exponential map). Since  $\Phi$  cannot be extended to a larger disk, it must be hold that the image of  $\Phi$  goes to the boundary of  $S'$ . But, this image cannot go to points  $z_0$  of  $S$  with  $h(z_0) \prod_{i=1}^m \left( F_M^i(z_0) \prod_{j,p} \psi(F^i)_{jp}(z_0) \right) = 0$ , since we have already shown that  $\gamma(0)$  is infinitely far away in the metric  $d\tau^2$  with respect to these points. Then the image of  $\Phi$  must go to the boundary  $S$ . Hence, by again Lemma 3.3, there exists a point  $w_0$  with  $|w_0| = R$  so that  $\Gamma = \Phi(\overline{0, w_0})$  is a divergent curve on  $S$ .

Our goal now is to show that  $\Gamma$  has finite length in the original metric  $ds^2$  on  $S$ , contradicting the completeness of  $S$ . Let  $g^i := f^i \circ \Phi: \Delta(R) \rightarrow V \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  be a holomorphic map which is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ . Then  $g^i$  have a reduced representation

$$G^i = (g_0^i, \dots, g_n^i),$$

where  $g_j^i = f_j^i \circ \Phi$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$ ). Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^* ds^2 &= 2|\xi \circ \Phi|^{2/m} \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i \circ \Phi\|^{2/m} |\Phi^* dz|^2 \\ &= 2|\xi \circ \Phi|^{2/m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \|G^i\|^{2/m} \right) \left| \frac{d(z \circ \Phi)}{dw} \right|^2 |dw|^2, \\ G_M^i &= (F^i \circ \Phi)_M = F_M^i \circ \Phi \cdot \left( \frac{d(z \circ \Phi)}{dw} \right)^{\sigma_M}, \\ \psi(G^i)_{jp} &= \psi(F^i \circ \Phi)_{jp} = \psi(F^i)_{jp} \cdot \left( \frac{d(z \circ \Phi)}{dw} \right)^{\sigma_p}, \quad (0 \leq p \leq M). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\Phi$  is locally isometric,

$$\begin{aligned} |dw| &= |\Phi^* d\tau| \\ &= |\xi \circ \Phi|^{\frac{1+\beta\rho\rho^*}{m}} \left( \frac{1}{|h \circ \Phi|^{\lambda+\epsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\prod_j |Q_j(F^i) \circ \Phi|^{\omega_j}}{|F_M^i \circ \Phi|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |\psi(F^i)_{jp} \circ \Phi|^{\epsilon/q}} \right)^{\rho^*/m} \left| \frac{d(z \circ \Phi)}{dw} \right| \cdot |dw| \\ &= |\xi \circ \Phi|^{\frac{1+\beta\rho\rho^*}{m}} \left( \frac{1}{|h \circ \Phi|^{\lambda+\epsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\prod_j |Q_j(G^i)|^{\omega_j}}{|G_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |\psi(G^i)_{jp}|^{\epsilon/q}} \right)^{\rho^*/m} \left| \frac{d(z \circ \Phi)}{dw} \right|^{1+\beta\rho\rho^*} \cdot |dw| \end{aligned}$$

(because  $1 + \rho^*(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M) = 1 + \beta\rho\rho^*$ ). This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d(z \circ \Phi)}{dw} \right| &= |\xi \circ \Phi|^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left( |h \circ \Phi|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|G_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |\psi(G^i)_{jp}|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_j |Q_j(G^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{\rho^*}{m(1+\beta\rho\rho^*)}} \\ &\leq |\xi \circ \Phi|^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left( |h \circ \Phi|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|G_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |G_p^i(Q_j)|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_j |Q_j(G^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{\rho^*}{m(1+\beta\rho\rho^*)}} \\ &= |\xi \circ \Phi|^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left( |h \circ \Phi|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|G_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |G_p^i(Q_j)|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_j^q |Q_j(G^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^* ds &\leq \sqrt{2} \prod_{i=1}^m \|G^i\|^{\frac{1}{m}} \left( |h \circ \Phi|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|G_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |G_p^i(Q_j)|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_{j=1}^q |Q_j(G^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m\beta}} |dw| \\ &= \sqrt{2} \left( |h \circ \Phi|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\|G^i\|^l |G_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |G_p^i(Q_j)|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_{j=1}^q |Q_j(G^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m\beta}} |dw| \\ &\leq C_1 \left( |h \circ \Phi|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|G_0^i|^{\frac{l}{d}} |G_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |G_p^i(Q_j)|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_{j=1}^q |Q_j(G^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m\beta}} |dw|. \end{aligned}$$

with a positive constant  $C_1$ . We note that  $\frac{\beta}{d} = \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j - M - 1 - \frac{\lambda\rho}{d} - \epsilon \left( \sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d} \right)$ . Then the inequality (2) yields that the conditions of Lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Then, by applying Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\Phi^* ds \leq C_2 \left( \frac{2R}{R^2 - |w|^2} \right)^\rho |dw|$$

for some positive constant  $C_2$ . Also, we have that  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Then

$$L_{ds^2}(\Gamma) \leq \int_{\Gamma} ds = \int_{\overline{0, w_0}} \Phi^* ds \leq C_2 \cdot \int_0^R \left( \frac{2R}{R^2 - |w|^2} \right)^\rho |dw| < +\infty.$$

This contradicts the assumption of completeness of  $S$  with respect to  $ds^2$ . Thus,  $d\tau^2$  is complete on  $S'$ .

Then, we note that the metric  $d\tau^2$  on  $S'$  is flat. Then by a theorem of Huber (cf. [2, Theorem 13, p.61]), the fact that  $S'$  has finite total curvature (with respect to  $d\tau^2$ ) implies that  $S'$  is finitely connected. This means that there is a compact subregion of  $S'$  whose complement is the union of a finite number of doubly-connected regions. Therefore, the functions  $|h| \prod_{p=0}^M \prod_{j=1}^q |\psi(G_z)_{jp}|$  must have only a finite number of zeros, and the original surface  $S$  is finitely connected. Due to Osserman (cf. [7, Theorem 2.1]), each annular ends of  $S'$ , and hence of  $S$ , is conformally equivalent to a punctured disk. Thus, the Riemann surface  $S$  must be conformally equivalent to a compact surface  $\bar{S}$  punctured at a finite number of points  $P_1, \dots, P_r$ . Then, there are disjoint neighborhoods  $U_i$  of  $P_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) in  $\bar{S}$  and biholomorphic maps  $\phi_i : U_i \rightarrow \Delta$  with  $\phi_i(P_i) = 0$ . Note that, the Poincare-metric on  $\Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$  is given by  $d\sigma_{\Delta^*}^2 = \frac{4|dw|^2}{|w|^2 \log^2 |w|^2}$ , where  $w$  is the complex coordinate on  $\Delta$ .

As we known that the universal covering surface of  $S$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  or a disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If the universal covering of  $S$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  (denote by  $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S$  this universal covering mapping), then from the assumption that

$$\lambda\nu_h + \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_{F_M^i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F^i)} \text{ and } |h| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i\|^\rho,$$

we have

$$\lambda\rho \sum_{i=1}^m T_{f^i \circ \tilde{\Phi}} \sum_{j=1}^q N(r, \nu_{h \circ \tilde{\Phi}}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j N(r, \nu_{Q_j(F^i \circ \tilde{\Phi})}) - N(r, \nu_{F_M^i \circ \tilde{\Phi}}) \right),$$

where  $T_f(r)$  is the characteristic function of the mapping  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $N(r, \nu)$  is the counting function of the divisor  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  (see [9] for the definitions). Using the second main theorem (Theorem 1.1 in [9]), we have

$$\| \lambda\rho \sum_{i=1}^m T_{f^i \circ \tilde{\Phi}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^q N^{[M]}(r, \nu_{Q_j(F^i \circ \tilde{\Phi})}) \geq \left( q - \frac{(2N - \ell + 1)(M + 1)}{\ell + 1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m T_{f^i \circ \tilde{\Phi}}. \right.$$

Here, the symbol “||” means the inequalities hold for all  $r \in [1, +\infty)$  outside a finite Borel measure set  $E$ . Letting  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $r \notin E$ ), we get

$$\lambda\rho \geq q - \frac{(2N - \ell + 1)(M + 1)}{\ell + 1}$$

and arrive at a contradiction.

Then, we only consider the case where the universal covering surface of  $S$  is biholomorphic to the unit disk  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\tilde{\Phi} : \Delta \rightarrow S$  be this holomorphic universal covering. Consider the following metric

$$d\tilde{\tau}^2 = \eta^2 |dz|^2,$$

where

$$\eta = \left( |h|^{\lambda+\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{|F_0^i|^{\gamma-\epsilon(\sigma_{M+1}+\frac{\rho}{d})} |F_M^i|^{1+\epsilon} \prod_{j,p} |F_p^i(Q_j)|^{\epsilon/q}}{\prod_{j=1}^q |Q_j(F^i)|^{\omega_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m(\sigma_M+\epsilon\tau_M)}}.$$

It is obvious that  $d\tilde{\tau}^2$  is continuous on  $S \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^i)^{-1}(Q_j)$ . Take a point  $a$  such that  $\prod_{j=1}^q Q_j(F^i)(a) = 0$ . From the assumption, we have

$$d\tilde{\tau}(a) \geq \frac{1}{m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)} \left( \lambda\nu_h(a) + \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_{F_M^i}(a) - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F^i)}(a) \right) \geq 0.$$

Therefore  $d\tilde{\tau}$  is continuous at  $a$ . This yields that  $d\tilde{\tau}$  is a continuous pseudo-metric on  $S$ . Now, from Lemma 3.1, we see that  $d\tau^2$  has strictly negative curvature on  $S$ . Hence, by the decreasing distance property, we have

$$\Phi^* d\tau^2 \leq d\sigma_\Delta^2 \leq C_3 \cdot (\Phi \circ \phi_i^{-1})^* d\sigma_{\Delta^*}^2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

for some positive constant  $C_3$ . This implies that

$$\int_{U_i} \Omega_{d\tau^2} \leq \int_{\Phi^{-1}(U_i)} \Phi^* \Omega_{\sigma_\Delta^2} \leq l_0 C_3 \int_{\Delta^*} \Omega_{d\sigma_{\Delta^*}^2} < \infty.$$

where  $l_0$  is the number of the sheets of the covering  $\Phi$ . Then, it yields that

$$\int_S \Omega_{d\tau^2} \leq \int_{S \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r U_i} \Omega_{d\tau^2} + l_0 C_3 r \int_{\Delta^*} \Omega_{d\sigma_{\Delta^*}^2} < \infty.$$

Now, denote by  $ds^2$  the original metric on  $S$ . Similar as (1), we have

$$\text{dd}^c \log \eta \geq \frac{\gamma - \epsilon(\sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d})}{\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M} \frac{d}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \Omega_{f_i}.$$

Then there is a subharmonic function  $v$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 |dz|^2 &= e^v |\xi|^{\frac{2}{m}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{\gamma - \epsilon(\sigma_{M+1} + \frac{\rho}{d})}{m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)}} |dz|^2 \\ &= e^v \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{\gamma - \sigma_M - \epsilon\tau_M + 1}{m(\sigma_M + \epsilon\tau_M)}} |\xi|^{\frac{2}{m}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \|F^i\|^{\frac{2}{m}} \right) |dz|^2 \\ &= e^w ds^2 \end{aligned}$$

for a subharmonic function  $w$  on  $S$ . Since  $S$  is complete with respect to  $ds^2$ , applying a result of S. T. Yau [14] and L. Karp [6] we have

$$\int_S \Omega_{d\tau^2} = \int_S e^w \Omega_{ds^2} = +\infty.$$

This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## 4 Uniqueness theorems for Gauss maps

In this section, we will prove main theorems of this paper. Firstly, we prove the following.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $S$  be an open Riemann surface and  $V$  be a  $\ell$ -dimension projective subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$  be  $q$  hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  in  $N$ -subgeneral position with respect to  $V$  and  $d = \text{lcm}(\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q)$ . Let  $f^1, f^2$  be holomorphic maps from  $S$  into  $V$  such that*

- (1)  $\bigcap_{j=0}^k (f^1)^{-1}(Q_{i_j}) = \emptyset$  for every  $1 \leq j_0 < \dots < j_k \leq q$ ,
- (2)  $f^1 = f^2$  on  $\bigcup_{j=1}^q ((f^1)^{-1}(Q_j) \cup (f^2)^{-1}(Q_j))$ .

If  $f^1$  is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ ,  $S$  is complete with a metric  $ds^2 = |\xi|^2 \|F^1\|^2 |dz^2|$ , where  $\xi$  is a non-vanishing holomorphic function,  $z$  is a conformal coordinate on  $S$ ,  $F^1$  is a reduced presentation of  $f^1$ , and

$$q > \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \sigma_M - \sigma_{M - \min\{k, \ell\}} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right)$$

then  $f^2$  is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F^i = (f_0^i, \dots, f_n^i)$  be reduce representations of  $f^i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Suppose contrarily that  $f^2$  is degenerate over  $I_d(V)$ . Then there exists a hypersurface  $Q$  of degree  $d$  such that  $V \not\subset Q$ ,  $Q(F^2) \equiv 0$ . By the assumption that  $f^1$  is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ , we have  $Q(F^1) \not\equiv 0$ . Since  $f^1 = f^2$  on  $\bigcup_{i=1}^q Q_i$ , we have  $Q(F^1) = 0$  on  $\bigcup_{i=1}^q (f^1)^{-1}Q_i$ . Therefore, setting  $k' = \min\{k, \ell\}$  and  $h = Q(F^1)$ , from Theorem 2.4 we have

$$(\sigma_M - \sigma_{M-k'})\nu_h(a) + \nu_{F_M^1}(a) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{k'} \nu_{Q_j(F^1)}(a) \geq \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j \nu_{Q_j(F^1)}(a).$$

Also, it is clear that  $|h| \leq \|F^1\|^d$ . Applying Theorem 3.4, we have

$$q \geq \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \sigma_M - \sigma_{M-k'} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right).$$

This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\deg Q_j = d$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . We may suppose that  $f^1(S) \not\subset Q_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  (otherwise  $f^1 = f^2$ ). Let  $z$  be a conformal coordinate on  $S^1$  and  $F^i = (f_0^i, \dots, f_n^i)$  be the reduce representation of  $f^i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since  $\Phi$  is a conformal diffeomorphism, there exists a non-vanishing holomorphic function  $\xi$  such that  $ds^2 = \|F^1\|^2|dz^2| = |\xi|^2\|F^2\|^2|dz^2|$ . We have  $ds^2$  is complete on  $S^1$ . Also, from the proof of Lemma 4.1, we see that  $f^2(S^1) \subset V$  and  $f^2$  is nondegenerate over  $I_d(V)$ .

Suppose contrarily that  $f^1 \neq f^2$ . Then there exists  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$  such that

$$h := f_i^1 f_j^2 - f_1^j f_i^2 \neq 0.$$

It is clear that  $\nu_h \geq \nu_{\prod_{j=1}^q Q_j(F^i)}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

From Theorem 2.4, we have

$$2(\sigma_M - \sigma_{M-\min\{k,\ell\}})\nu_h + \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_{F_M^i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^q \nu_{Q_j(F^i)}.$$

Note that  $|h| \leq \|F^1\| \cdot \|F^2\|$ . By applying Theorem 3.4, we have

$$q \leq \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{2(\sigma_M - \sigma_{M-\min\{k,\ell\}})}{d} + \frac{M(M+1)}{2d} \right).$$

This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $z$  be a conformal coordinate on  $S^1$  and  $F^i$  be the reduce representation of  $f^i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since  $\Phi$  is a conformal diffeomorphism, there exists a non-vanishing holomorphic function  $\xi$  such that  $ds^2 = \|F^1\|^2|dz^2| = |\xi|^2\|F^2\|^2|dz^2| = |\xi| \cdot \|F^1\| \cdot \|F^2\| |dz^2|$ . Note that,  $ds^2$  is complete on  $S^1$ .

Suppose contrarily that the theorem does not hold. Consider the simple graph  $\mathcal{G}$  with the set of vertices  $\{1, \dots, q\}$  and the set of edges consisting of all pairs  $\{i, j\}$  such that  $Q_i(F^1)Q_j(F^2) - Q_j(F^1)Q_i(F^2) \neq 0$ . The supposition implies that the order of each vertex does not exceed  $\lfloor q/2 \rfloor$ . Then, by Dirac's theorem, there is a Hamilton cycle  $i_1, \dots, i_q, i_{q+1}$ , where  $i_{q+1} = i_1$ . We set  $u_j := i_{j+1}$  if  $j < q$  and  $u_q := i_1$ . Then we have

$$h := \prod_{j=1}^q (Q_{i_j}(F^1)Q_{u_j}(F^2) - Q_{u_j}(F^1)Q_{i_j}(F^2)) \neq 0.$$

It is clear that  $|h| \leq \|F^1\|^{dq} \|F^2\|^{dq}$ .

For each point  $a \in \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^1)^{-1}(Q_j)$ , take a subset  $I_1 \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$  such that  $\sharp I_1 = N + 1$  and  $Q_j(F^1)(a) \neq 0$  for every  $j \notin I_1$ . Then there is a subset  $I_2 \subset I_1$  such that  $\sharp I_2 = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\{[Q_j]; j \in I_2\} = \ell + 1$  and

$$\sum_{i \in I_2} (\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a) + \nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a)) \geq \sum_{i \in I_1} \omega_i (\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a) + \nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a)).$$

Then, there exists a subset  $I \subset I_2$  such that  $\#I = t$  and  $Q_j(F^1)(a) \neq 0$  for every  $j \in I_2 \setminus I$ . Hence, we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} (\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a) + \nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^q \omega_i (\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a) + \nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a)).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_h(a) &\geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \min\{\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a), \nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a)\} + (q - 2t) \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^t (\min\{\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a), M\} + \min\{\nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a), M\} - M) + (q - 2t) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^t (\min\{\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a), M\} + \min\{\nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a), M\}) + (q - 2(M + 1)t) \\ &\geq \frac{q + 2(M - 1)t}{2Mt} \sum_{i=1}^t (\min\{\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a), M\} + \min\{\nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a), M\}). \end{aligned}$$

Also, by usual arguments we have

$$\nu_{F_M^1 F_M^2}(a) \geq \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^t \max\{0, \nu_{Q_i(F^j)}(a) - M\}.$$

Therefore, we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2Mt}{q + 2(M - 1)t} \nu_h(a) + \nu_{F_M^1 F_M^2}(a) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^t (\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a) + \nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a)) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^q \omega_i (\nu_{Q_i(F^1)}(a) + \nu_{Q_i(F^2)}(a)) \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q &\leq \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{2Mtq}{(q + 2(M - 1)t)d} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right) \\ &= \frac{2N - \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} \left( M + 1 + \frac{2Mkq}{(q + 2(M - 1)k)d} + \frac{M(M + 1)}{2d} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and arrive at a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. V. AHLFORS, An extension of Schwarz's lemma, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **43** (1938), 359–364.

- [2] A. HUBER, On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in large, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **32** (1961), 13–72.
- [3] H. FUJIMOTO, Unicity theorems for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surface, *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **45** (1998), 481–487.
- [4] H. FUJIMOTO, Unicity theorems for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces, II, *Kodai Math. J.* **16** (1993), 335–354.
- [5] H. FUJIMOTO, *Value Distribution Theory of the Gauss Map of Minimal Surfaces in  $R^m$* , Aspects of Math. **21**, Vieweg, Wiesbaden (1993).
- [6] L. KARP, Subharmonic functions on real and complex manifolds, *Math. Z.* **179** (1982), 535–554.
- [7] R. OSSERMAN, On complete minimal surfaces, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **13** (1963), 392–404.
- [8] J. PARK, M. RU, Unicity results for Gauss maps of minimal surfaces immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , *J. Geom.* **108** (2017), 481–499.
- [9] S. D. QUANG, D. P. AN, Second main theorem and unicity of meromorphic mappings for hypersurfaces in projective varieties, *Acta Math. Vietnam* **42** (2017), 455–470.
- [10] S. D. QUANG, Generalization of uniqueness theorem for meromorphic mappings sharing hyperplanes, *Internat. J. Math.* **30** (2019), 1950011.
- [11] S. D. QUANG, Modified defect relation for Gauss maps of minimal surfaces with hypersurfaces of projective varieties in subgeneral position, *Math. Nachrichten* **297** (9) (2024), 3334–3362.
- [12] S. D. QUANG, Curvature estimate and the ramification of the holomorphic maps over hypersurfaces on Riemann surfaces, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **151** (1) (2023), 91–115.
- [13] S. D. QUANG, Modified defect relation of Gauss maps on annular ends of minimal surfaces for hypersurfaces of projective varieties in subgeneral position, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **23** (1) (2024), 127806.
- [14] S. T. YAU, Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry, *Indiana U. Math. J.* **25** (1976), 659–670.

Received: 21.01.2024

Accepted: 22.04.2024

<sup>(1)</sup> Division of Mathematics, Thang Long University, Nghiem Xuan Yem,  
Hoang Mai, Hanoi, Vietnam  
E-mail: [hangdtt@thanglong.edu.vn](mailto:hangdtt@thanglong.edu.vn)

<sup>(2)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Hanoi National University of Education,  
136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam  
E-mail: [quangsd@hnue.edu.vn](mailto:quangsd@hnue.edu.vn)